SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE

POST OFFICE DRAWER 28510 6220 CULEBRA ROAD SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78284 15121 684 5111

DEPARTMENT OF FIRE TECHNOLOGY

ANSI/ASTM E108-78 FUTURE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

Project No.: 01-5849-272e AMDAL CHENATO Pate Of Option ATION
Sponsor: AmDal Chemical Corporation Date Material Received

P. O. Box 31707 Dallas, Texas 75231

DALLAS, TEXAS AT 15231

A test deck was mounted on the framework and the blower adjusted to produce an air current of 12 mph. The test deck, located 33 inches from the air outlet duct, was subjected to a luminous gas flame approximately the width of the deck at its bottom edge. The gas supply was regulated to develop a temperature of $1300 \pm 50^{\circ}$ F, as determined by a No. 16 B&S gauge (1.63-mm) Chromel-Alumel wire thermocouple located 1 in. (25 mm) above the surface and 1/2 in. (13 mm) toward the source of flame from the lower edge of the test deck.

The flame was applied continuously for 4 minutes. The air current was maintained throughout the test and until all evidence of flame, glow, and smoke had disappeared.

TEST SPECIMENS

The test decks were 3-1/3 ft (1.0 m) wide by 4-1/4 ft (1.30 m) long. Nominal 1 x 4-in. No. 2 white pine planks, 3-1/3 ft (1.0 m) long, spaced 2 in. (50.8 mm) apart were securely nailed to two nominal 2 x 4-in. No. 2 construction grade wood battens located under and flush with the outer edges of the deck. The wood shingles were nailed to the wood substrate. A 6-1/2-in. (16.51-cm) length of exposed shingle surface was used in constructing the test decks.

TEST CONDITIONS

The test decks were exposed to 3 cycles (3 weeks) of rain/drying simulating 200 in. of rain. The test decks were stored for three days at $70\pm3^\circ\mathrm{F}$ and 50 ± 5 -percent relative humidity prior to testing. Moisture contents, as determined by a moisture meter, were in the range of 8 to 12 percent. The slope of the test deck was 5 in. per horizontal foot. The wind current was 1040 to 1070 ft/min. The ambient temperature was 80 to 85°F.

TEST RESULTS

Specimen No. 1
No flying or falling brands were produced.

Specimen No. 2 No flying or falling brands were produced.



This report is for the information of the Sponser. It may be used in its oncrety for the purpose of socuring product acceptance from duly constituted approval authorises; however, this report or the name of the Inotitute shall not be used in publicity or advertising.

SAN ANTONIO, HOUSTON, TEXAS, AND WASHINGTON, D.C.

Project No. 01-5849-272e October 3, 1980 Page 2

ACCEPTANCE REQUIREMENTS

In the flying brand test, no flying, flaming brands, nor particles that continue to glow after reaching the floor may be produced.

ACCEPTANCE LEVEL

Class A Class C Unacceptable

Reported by:

Eugene L. Anderson Senior Research Engineer Special Projects